ALBERT R. WYNN 4TH DISTRICT, MARYLAND

DEPUTY DEMOCRATIC WHIP

MEMBER DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS

COMMITTEE **ENERGY AND COMMERCE** 

**ENERGY AND AIR QUALITY** 

HEALTH ENVIRONMENT AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND THE INTERNET

Secretary



## CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-2004

434 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-2004 TELEPHONE (202) 225-8699 FAX (202) 225-8714 Web site http://www.house.gov/wvnn/ DISTRICT OFFICE 9200 BASIL COURT, #221 LARGO, MD 20774 TELEPHONE (301) 773-4094 FAX (301) 925-9694 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ☐ 18200 GEORGIA AVENUE, #E OLNEY, MD 20832 TELEPHONE (301) 929-3462 FAX [301) 929-3466 September 22, 2003

ÒZ

Dear Secretary VanBrakle:

Washington, DC 20573

Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capitol Street, NW

Bryant L. VanBrakle

It is my understanding that the United Parcel Service (UPS) has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. Therefore, I write in strong support of UPS' petition, P3-03, currently pending before the Federal Maritime Commission (FMC).

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform Act* (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry, including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been an unprecedented consolidation amongst ocean carriers that has resulted in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates a sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network all over the world. UPS ships cargo by air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and air freight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these industry changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean shipping consumers around the world.

I urge the FMC to give the UPS petition its utmost consideration and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of UPS' case. Should you have any questions, please fee free to contact me or Alon Kupferman of my office.

Sincerely,

Albert R. Wynn

Member of Congress